From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

T	o:	
	CAYLI, Hülya PARAGON CONSULTANCY	TNCORPORATED
	Koza Sokak No:60/6	INCOMI CIMILE
	G.O.P. 06700 Ankara	
	TURQUIE	

Koza Sokak No:60/6 G.O.P. 06700 Ankara TURQUIE		WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)					
		Date of mailing (day month year)	31/08/2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference T035P03-W0	REPLY DUE within 1 / 00 months/days from the above date of mailing						
International application NoInternational filing		te (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/TR03/00082	26/09/2002						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classificati	on and IPC					
	C12Q1/68						
Applicant							
KÖKSALAN, Orhan, Kaya	et al.						
1. This written opinion is the first drawn t	ıp by this International F	Preliminary Examining	Authority.				
2. This opinion contains indications relating	g to the following items:						
I \overline{X} Basis of the opinion	I X Basis of the opinion						
II Priority							
III Non-establishment of opin	ion with regard to novelt	y, inventive step and in	dustrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of invention							
V X Reasoned statement under citations and explanations			step or industrial applicat	oility;			
VI Certain documents cited		9					
VII Certain defects in the intern	national application						
VIII Certain observations on the	e international application	n					
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply	to this opinion.						
When? See the time limit indicated at to grant an extension, see Ru By submitting a written reply For the form and the language	le 66.2(d). , accompanied, where ap	propriate, by amendmen					
Also For an additional opportunity For the examiner's obligation For an informal communicati	to consider amendments	and/or arguments, see	Rule 66.4 <i>bis</i> .				
If no reply is filed, the international pro	eliminary examination re	port will be established	on the basis of this opinio	n.			
4. The final date by which the internationa examination report must be established		:: <u>26/01</u> /	<u> 2005 </u>	sches Patentamy.			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer	w sor	11 6			
European Patent Office		Examiner	sts .				
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 52365 Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	56 epmu d	Formalities officer (incl. extension of time Tel. (+49-89) 2399 28	328 %				
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (march 2	002)			Soorus soitto.			



- I. Basis of the opinion
- The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed. 1.
- Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ٧. industrial applicability
- In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the 1. invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 2. PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.